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1. Provide quick background on the age-structural theory of state behavior.
2. Review its 5 basic statistical relationships in "age-structural time".
3. Review its potential for statistical forecasting.
4. If there is time, review its 10 fundamental "rules".

Background: Origins of age-structural theory

The Anticipatory Fields of Intelligence (Estimative, Early Warning, Foresight)


- Reductionist: Focuses on a fundamental relationship and builds up; appears unconcerned with causality.
- Core of the model is demographic: relies on estimates and projections by the UN Population Division (alternatively, the US Census Bureau, International Program Center).
- Relies heavily on the scientific method: Models generated by agestructural modeling (logistic regression in the age-structural domain), and then tested, modified, retested.


## Key Points

- Age structural transition: transition from youthful to more mature age structures (the Global Trends four phases).
- Age-structural domain (age-structural time vs. chronological time).
- Age-structural theory of state behavior (generating social, economic, and political expectations at various stages of the age-structural domain).
- Age-structural theory is a scientific program (testable statistical expectations) which differentiates it from explanatory narratives that are untestable.
- Ideology (politico-secular as well as politico-religious) can over-power the expectations associated with demography. Some strong religious ideologies manipulate fertility (and therefore, age structure).


## Background: Elements of age-structural theory and their audience

- Statistical Element: Generating continuous age-structural functions associated with transitions/events. (driven by the computational and non-computational elements).
- Computational Element: Generating tests of theory and forecasts (checking the statistical and non-computational elements).
- Non-computational Element: Generating fundamental expectations for analysts, "the 10 Rules." Separating which countries perform as expected from those that are "behaving unexpectedly" (checking the statistical and computational elements).


## The Age-structural Transition

 2015Data: UN Population Division, 2015 Rev.


## The Age-structural Transition

 2015Data: UN Population Division, 2017 Rev.


## 4 Phases of the Age-structural Transition

Median age (in years)

- Youthful $\leq 25.5$
- Intermediate 25.6 to 35.5
- Mature
35.6 to 45.5
- Post-mature $\geq 45.6$

Age-structural Timeline (M)


## NIC's Four Age-structural Phases

- Youthful (YTH): rapid growth among children, adolescents, young adults; low levels of human capital and institutional capacity (typically low legitimacy). Difficult to generate legitimacy from institutions (because of the large load on them, and low levels of human capital)
- Intermediate (INT): The demographic window; low levels of dependency; rapidly increasing human capital and institutional capacity (typically gains in legitimacy).
- Mature (MAT): fading of the demographic advantages; society and economy directed by the institution and capacity generated during the window. Need to be preparing institutions/society for the next phase.
- Post-mature (PMT): challenges from large proportion of seniors in adult population; declining workforce size (maybe); unprepared institutions (?); ethnic shifts due to immigration (?).

| Phase | Institutional Capacity | Performance |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Youthful (YTH) | Low or Lower-middle |  |
| Intermediate (INT) | Upwardly mobile |  |
| Mature (MAT) | Lower Middle $\rightarrow$ Upper Middle |  |
| Post-mature (PMT) | Depends on INT institution building | Upper Middle or High performance |

Sub-Saharan Africa and the Demographic Window

TFR, 2010-15 vs. Median Age, 2015


## Exceptional factors:

- States with populations less 5.0 million (small-population states [SPS])
- States that are reliant on oil and/or mineral wealth (rents $>15 \%$ of GDP, resource reliant states [RRS])
- Single-party regimes (ideological political monopolies [IPM]).
- States that are a composite of demographically dissimilar nations [DDN] (large gaps in fertility, growth, age-related mortality, age structure, net migration).


## Tunisia

Median age, 17.1

Pop: 4.5 million

Median age, 29.0

Pop.: 10.6 million




Median age, 20.9

Pop.: 8.2 million

Median age, 35.6

Pop.: 12.3 million

Data: UN Population Div., 2015 Rev.

Age-structural Change 1995 to 2010


## Sub-state Model

## Sub-state age-structural model

## Majority



## Majority (M)



## Majority



Methods: Age-structural Modeling

## Building Cumulative Distribution Functions



Child Survival: Upper-middle category (<25 deaths per 1000 births)


Age-structural Transition

## Age Structure \& Development

## Transitions in:

Child survival
(UN Pop. Div./WHO)

Late-secondary educational attainment (IIASA/VID)

Per-capita income (World Bank, WDI)
a.

b.

c.


## Under-age-5 Survival

 (surviving children per 1000 births)Survival Categories

| Low: | Fewer than 900 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lower-middle: | $900-949$ |
| Middle: | $950-974$ |
| Upper-middle: | $975-989$ |
| High: | 990 or more |

## Late-secondary School Attainment

(proportion with late-secondary or tertiary schooling, ages 20-29)

Attainment Categories:

| Low: | Less than 0.20 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Lower-middle: | $0.20-0.39$ |
| Middle: | $0.40-0.59$ |
| Upper-middle: | $0.60-0.79$ |
| High: | 0.80 or greater |

World Bank Income Classes
(GNI per capita, Atlas Method, US\$, 2017
Per-capita Income Categories:
Low:
Lower-middle:
Upper-middle:
Less than 1005
1006-3955 3956-12,235 12,236 or more

# Political Liberalization Transition 



Freedom House freedom status categories, published annually by Freedom House, based on the average of their political rights (PR) and civil liberties (CL) scores (average is called the freedom score).

FREE: $\quad$ Freedom Scores 2.5 to 1.0 PARTLY FREE: Freedom Scores 5.0 to 3.0 NOT FREE: Freedom Scores 7.0 to 5.5

## Political Stability Transition



UCDP/PRIO Armed Conflict Database, intra-state, government-focused conflicts (>25 battle-related deaths per year, where the state is one of the armed parties)

Note: Not a separatist (territorial) conflict.

Conflict History Classes (same type of conflict during prior 4-year)
$\mathrm{R}_{\mathrm{Abs}}$ : $\quad 0$ years of conflict (absence)
$\mathrm{R}_{\text {Int }}$ : 1 or 2 years of conflict (intermittence)
$R_{\text {Per }}$ : $\quad 3$ or 4 years of conflict (persistence)

Data: UCDP/PRIO (2017), UNPD (2017)



4-year conflict history types

Onset: 0 conflict yrs. Intermittent: 1 or 2 conflict yrs. Persistent: 3 or 4 conflict yrs.

Territorial Conflict: empirical evidence


Demographic Window: Upper-Middle ${ }_{50}$


Products: Forecasts and related materials

- Working in age-structural time (an advantage). Coming up with a narrative (a disadvantage).
- Law of small numbers: statistical issue; regions more effective than individual states.
- Other factors: Regimes; ideologies; separatist conflicts (and other substate issues); neighborhood influences.
- Models naïve to sub-state data: Missing sub-state demography and other sub-state data.


Age-structural Change 1995 to 2010


Age-structural Change 2015 to 2035


Data: UN Population Div., 2015

## Middle East - North Africa 2017

Intra-state Conflicts: 2016 (UCDP/PRIO)


Highly Ideological Political Monopoly

Led by Revolutionary

Led by Charismatic Reformer

Median age, 29 years ( $\mathrm{FREE}_{50}$ )


Median age, 26 years

| Summary of Model Expectations |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (1) <br> STATE <br> (MENA) | (2) <br> Freedom <br> Score <br> 2017 | (3) <br> Prob. of FREE, 2018 | (4) <br> Free $_{50}$ <br> (year) |
|  | Cyprus | 1.0 | 0.79 | $\begin{gathered} 1984 \\ \text { (Free since } \\ \text { 1986) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Tunisia | 2.5 | 0.64 | $\left.\right\|_{2010} ^{2015)} \text { (Free }$ |
| - | Iran | 6.0 | 0.61 | 2014 |
| \% | Turkey | 5.5 | 0.59 | 2013 |
|  | Israel | 2.0 | 0.57 | $\begin{gathered} 2006 \\ \text { (Free before } \\ \text { 1972) } \end{gathered}$ |
|  | Lebanon | 5.0 | 0.56 | 2016 |
|  | Morocco | 5.0 | 0.50 | 2019 |
| - | Algeria | 5.5 | 0.48 | 2020 |
| \% | Libya | 6.5 | 0.47 | 2020 |
|  | Bahrain* | 6.5 | 0.45 | 2023 |
|  | Saudi Arabia * | 7.0 | 0.34 | 2026 |
| \% | Egypt | 6.0 | 0.34 | 2040 |
| 4 | Jordan | 5.0 | 0.25 | 2035-40 |
|  | Oman * | 5.5 | 0.25 | 22040 |
|  | Qatar * | 5.5 | 0.21 | 22040 |
|  | Kuwait * | 5.0 | 0.20 | 22040 |
| * | Syria | 7.0 | 0.20 | 2035-40 |
| \% | Yemen | 6.5 | 0.16 | >2040 |
| \% | Iraq | 5.5 | 0.16 | >2040 |
|  | UAE * | 6.0 | 0.14 | >2040 |

MATURE

## Latin America \& Caribbean 2017

Intra-state Conflicts: 2016 (UCDP/PRIO)

Summary of
Model
Expectations


## World Bank Income Classes

| YTH | INT | MAT | PMT |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| － | 装 | 耊 | 雨 |





World Bank Income Classes, 2017






## Revolutionary Conflict, by region: Expected, observed \& forecasts

Table 4. Five-year expected and observed regional counts of states in revolutionary conflict.

|  | $1991-95$ |  | 2011-15 |  | 2031-35 (forecast) |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regions | Expected | Observed | Expected | Observed | Lower | Middle | Upper |
| East Asia, India, Pacific | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| Europe | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Middle East, N. Africa, Central Asia | 7 | 11 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| North \& South America | 6 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 |
| South \& East Africa | 6 | 9 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| West \& Central Africa | 5 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 8 |



Japan


Rep. of Korea


China


Taiwan



## Iran



North Korea


Afghanistan


Iraq


Syria


Russia


Nigeria


South Africa


Statistical facts \& working hypotheses
(helpful)

## Eight Rules of Political Demography (1 through 4)

1. Expect states at the top of the list, the most age-structurally mature, to experience the best chance of being a liberal democracy - that is, to be assessed as FREE in Freedom House's annual Freedom in the World global survey (most analysts consider FREE status to be synonymous with liberal democracy).
2. Expect states that have a youthful age structure (below a median age of 25.5 years) to be the least likely to be assessed as FREE and the most likely to be engaged in intrastate conflict of either low or high intensity, as measured by the Uppsala Conflict Data Program.
3. Where a revolution occurs in a state with a youthful population, expect either the authoritarian regime to remain in power or to be replaced by another authoritarian regime (typically NOT FREE or low-level PARTLY FREE, as measured by Freedom House).
4. Expect states that achieve FREE while youthful to lose this rating within a decade. There is a long history of this effect; Mali is a recent example.

## Eight Rules of Political Demography (5 through 8)

5. Expect states with a population of less than 5 million to be the most likely to break rules 1, 2, 3, and 4 (see the UN Population Division for population data).
6. Expect states that are ruled by an ideological single-party regime or another type of ideological political monopoly - for example, Iran's theocracy - to mature without liberalization. China and North Korea are other prominent examples, and so far, have had no successional issues.
7. Expect states led by a revolutionary leader (Cuba under Castro, Venezuela under Chavez) or a charismatic reformer (Russia under Putin, Turkey under Erdogan, Singapore under Lee Kwan Yu) to resist attaining FREE. Expect these regimes to have successional problems.
8. Expect a state ruled by a military junta/ruler or absolute monarch to yield to a more democratic regime before the population attains a mature age-structure (before a median age of 35.5 years).

Causal narratives (optional)

Why does median age predict development?
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Projected Median age: 35 yrs.



Percent of Total Population


## Percent of Total Population

## USA 2015



Percent of Total Population

Data: UN Population Div., 2015 Rev.
Post-mature

## Germany 2025 (projected)

UN medium fertility variant


Age-structural Transition

Child Survival: Upper Middle category
(<25.0 to 10.0 deaths per 1000 births)


Child Survival:

Upper-middle category
(<25 deaths per 1000 births)

Oil + mineral rents > $15 \%$ of GDP
Population < 5.0 million
All other countries

